RJNMSR
Review Journal of Neurological & Medical Sciences Review

Volume 3, Issue 7, 2025

EVIDENCE-BASED FUNCTIONAL NUTRITION STRATEGIES FOR IMPROVING DIET QUALITY, GUT HEALTH, NUTRIENT ADEQUACY, AND BODY COMPOSITION IN ADULTS THROUGH INTEGRATED CLINICAL DIETARY INTERVENTION

Ausaf Chaudhary[*1], Messam Shamsi[2], Zabaria Farooq[3], Muhammad Umar Iqbal[4], Hafsa Gohar[5], Amara Khan[6]

[*1]*College of Physical Education, Human Kinesiology and Science (PHD), Yangzhou University, China*
[2]*Department of Nutrition Sciences, University of Management and Technology, Sialkot Campus*
[3]*University Institute of Diet & Nutritional Sciences (UIDNS), Allied Health Sciences, The University of Lahore*
[4]*Institute of Food and Nutritional Sciences, PMAS-Arid Agriculture University, Rawalpindi, Pakistan*
[5]*Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, The Superior University*
[6]*WFP Pakistan*

[*1]ausaf.choudhary@gmail.com, [2]messam.shamsi@skt.umt.edu.pk, [3]zubaria67@gmail.com , [4]m.umariqbal.res@gmail.com, [5]healthydietmode@gmail.com, [6]amarak830@gmail.com

Corresponding Author: *
Ausaf Chaudhary

DOI: http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21899762

Received	Accepted	Published
23 September 2025	16 November 2025	30 November 2025

ABSTRACT
Background: *Functional nutrition interventions targeting multiple health domains simultaneously represent a promising approach to addressing the global burden of chronic disease. However, comprehensive evidence synthesis examining integrated effects on diet quality, gut health, nutrient adequacy, and body composition remains limited.*
Objective: *This systematic review synthesizes evidence from randomized controlled trials and intervention studies examining functional nutrition strategies that simultaneously improve multiple health outcomes in adult populations. We analyzed 30 high-quality studies (n=399 to 1,260 participants per study) published between 2016-2026, including randomized controlled trials, parallel-group designs, and crossover studies. Studies evaluated dietary interventions ranging from 4 weeks to 24 months, focusing on Mediterranean diet patterns, high-fiber plant-based approaches, macronutrient modulation, probiotic supplementation, and multi-domain lifestyle interventions. Primary outcomes included gut microbiome composition (16S rRNA sequencing, alpha/beta diversity), body composition (DXA, bioimpedance), nutrient adequacy assessments, and validated diet quality indices. High-fiber plant-based interventions (30+ diverse ingredients) significantly improved gut microbiome diversity (weighted UniFrac, p<0.05), reduced gastrointestinal symptoms (indigestion, constipation, flatulence), and enhanced subjective energy levels in healthy adults over 6 weeks. Mediterranean diet interventions with energy restriction increased gut microbial species richness and diversity while promoting significant weight loss (-5.2 kg, p<0.001) and improvements in body composition over 8 months in obese older adults. Macronutrient modulation targeting tissue-specific insulin resistance improved cardiometabolic biomarkers and altered gut microbiota composition. Probiotic supplementation (Bifidobacterium bifidum, Lactobacillus species) reduced circulating zonulin, TNF-α, and insulin levels while modulating gut permeability markers. Multi-domain interventions combining dietary modification with exercise demonstrated synergistic effects on metabolic health, fitness, quality of life, and modest gut microbiota shifts. Nutrient adequacy*

improved significantly with structured dietary counseling, particularly for protein, fiber, and micronutrient intake in older adults and clinical populations.
Integrated functional nutrition strategies effectively improve multiple health domains simultaneously, with strongest evidence supporting high-fiber plant-based diets, Mediterranean dietary patterns, and personalized macronutrient modulation. Gut microbiome modulation appears to mediate many metabolic and body composition benefits. Future research should focus on precision nutrition approaches, longer intervention durations, and mechanistic pathways linking dietary components to multi-system health outcomes.
Keywords: *functional nutrition, gut microbiome, body composition, nutrient adequacy, dietary intervention, Mediterranean diet, plant-based diet, probiotics, insulin resistance, randomized controlled trial*

1. Introduction

The global burden of chronic non-communicable diseases continues to escalate, with diet-related factors contributing substantially to morbidity and mortality worldwide. Traditional nutritional interventions often target single outcomes or isolated dietary components, yet emerging evidence suggests that integrated, multi-domain functional nutrition strategies may offer superior health benefits by simultaneously addressing diet quality, gut health, nutrient adequacy, and body composition [1], [2], [3].

Functional nutrition represents a paradigm shift from reductionist approaches toward holistic dietary interventions that recognize the complex interplay between food components, gut microbiota, metabolic health, and body composition [4], [5]. This approach emphasizes whole-food dietary patterns, bioactive compounds, personalized macronutrient distribution, and gut microbiome modulation to optimize multiple physiological systems concurrently [6], [7].

Recent advances in microbiome science, metabolomics, and precision nutrition have revealed that dietary interventions can rapidly alter gut microbial composition and function, with downstream effects on nutrient metabolism, immune function, inflammation, and energy homeostasis [8], [9], [10]. Furthermore, the bidirectional relationship between diet quality and gut health suggests that interventions targeting both domains may produce synergistic benefits for body composition and overall metabolic health [11], [12].

Despite growing interest in functional nutrition, comprehensive evidence synthesis examining integrated effects across multiple health domains remains limited. Most systematic reviews focus on single outcomes (e.g., weight loss, microbiome changes, or nutrient status) rather than evaluating the coordinated impact of dietary interventions on the interconnected systems that determine overall health status [13], [14].

This review synthesizes evidence from high-quality randomized controlled trials and intervention studies published between 2016-2026, examining functional nutrition strategies that simultaneously improve diet quality, gut health, nutrient adequacy, and body composition in adult populations. We analyze intervention protocols, participant characteristics, outcome measures, and effect sizes to identify evidence-based strategies for integrated clinical dietary intervention.

1.1 Objectives

The primary objectives of this review are to:

1. Characterize effective functional nutrition intervention protocols that improve multiple health domains simultaneously
2. Quantify effects on gut microbiome composition, diversity, and functional capacity
3. Evaluate impacts on body composition parameters including body weight, fat mass, lean mass, and anthropometric measures
4. Assess changes in nutrient adequacy and diet quality indices
5. Identify optimal intervention characteristics (duration, intensity, dietary components) for maximal multi-domain benefits
6. Examine population-specific responses across age groups, baseline health status, and metabolic phenotypes

2. Background and Theoretical Foundations

2.1 The Gut Microbiome as a Mediator of Nutritional Health

The human gut microbiome comprises trillions of microorganisms that play critical roles in nutrient metabolism, immune function, and metabolic regulation [15], [16]. Dietary components, particularly fiber, polyphenols, and fermented foods, serve as primary modulators of gut microbial composition and metabolic activity [1], [9]. Short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) produced through microbial fermentation of dietary fiber exert systemic effects on energy homeostasis, glucose metabolism, and inflammatory pathways [8], [13].

Emerging evidence demonstrates that gut microbiome composition correlates with body composition parameters, with specific bacterial taxa associated with lean mass, fat distribution, and metabolic health [17], [27]. Butyrate-producing bacteria, in particular, have been linked to improved muscle health and favorable body composition profiles [27]. The abundance of Akkermansia muciniphila has been associated with improved metabolic health during dietary interventions in obesity, with relationships to gut microbiome richness and ecological diversity [13].

2.2 Mediterranean and Plant-Based Dietary Patterns

Mediterranean dietary patterns, characterized by high intake of vegetables, fruits, whole grains, legumes, nuts, olive oil, and moderate fish consumption, represent one of the most extensively studied functional nutrition approaches [3], [15]. These patterns provide abundant fiber, polyphenols, monounsaturated fats, and bioactive compounds that collectively support gut health, reduce inflammation, and promote favorable body composition [3], [21].

High-fiber plant-based diets rich in diverse whole-food ingredients have demonstrated particular efficacy in modulating gut microbiome composition and improving gastrointestinal symptoms [1], [9]. Diets incorporating 30 or more diverse plant ingredients weekly appear to maximize microbiome diversity and associated health benefits [1], [25].

2.3 Precision Nutrition and Macronutrient Modulation

Precision nutrition approaches recognize substantial inter-individual variability in dietary responses based on genetic, metabolic, and microbiome factors [2], [6]. Macronutrient modulation targeting tissue-specific insulin resistance phenotypes has shown promise for optimizing cardiometabolic outcomes through personalized dietary prescription [2]. This approach acknowledges that optimal macronutrient distribution varies based on individual metabolic characteristics, with gut microbiota potentially mediating differential responses [2], [26].

2.4 Probiotics and Functional Food Components

Probiotic supplementation with specific bacterial strains (Lactobacillus, Bifidobacterium species) can modulate gut microbiome composition, reduce intestinal permeability, and improve metabolic biomarkers [8], [11]. Functional food components including prebiotics, polyphenols, and fermented foods provide substrates for beneficial microbial metabolism and may enhance the efficacy of dietary interventions [1], [9], [12].

2.5 Multi-Domain Interventions

Emerging evidence suggests that combining dietary modification with other lifestyle interventions (exercise, stress management, sleep optimization) may produce synergistic effects exceeding those of single-domain approaches [6], [21], [22], [28]. Multi-domain interventions appear particularly effective for older adults, addressing age-related declines in muscle mass, metabolic function, and gut microbiome diversity simultaneously [21], [28].

3. Methods

3.1 Study Selection and Characteristics

This review synthesizes evidence from 30 high-quality studies identified through systematic literature search and relevance ranking. Studies were selected based on the following criteria:

Inclusion Criteria:

- Randomized controlled trials, parallel-group designs, or controlled intervention studies
- Adult participants (≥18 years)

- Dietary interventions lasting ≥4 weeks
- Assessment of at least two of the following domains: gut microbiome composition, body composition, nutrient adequacy, or diet quality
- Published between 2016-2026

Exclusion Criteria:
- Purely observational studies without intervention
- Pediatric populations exclusively
- Single-nutrient supplementation studies without dietary modification
- Interventions <4 weeks duration
- Studies lacking validated outcome measures

3.2 Data Extraction
Data were systematically extracted from included studies using standardized forms capturing:
- Study design and duration
- Participant characteristics (sample size, age, sex, baseline BMI, health status)
- Dietary intervention protocols (dietary pattern, macronutrient composition, specific components, delivery method)
- Control/comparison conditions
- Outcome measures and assessment methods
- Key findings and effect sizes
- Adverse events and adherence rates

3.3 Outcome Measures
3.3.1 Gut Microbiome Outcomes
Gut microbiome composition was assessed using 16S rRNA gene sequencing or shotgun metagenomics. Primary metrics included:
- Alpha diversity (Shannon index, species richness, Chao1)
- Beta diversity (weighted/unweighted UniFrac distances, Bray-Curtis dissimilarity)
- Taxonomic composition (phylum, family, genus, species level)
- Functional capacity (predicted metabolic pathways)
- Specific taxa of interest (Akkermansia, Bifidobacterium, Lactobacillus, butyrate-producers)

3.3.2 Body Composition Outcomes
Body composition was measured using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA), bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA), or anthropometric measures:
- Body weight and body mass index (BMI)
- Fat mass and fat-free mass
- Visceral adipose tissue
- Skeletal muscle mass
- Waist and hip circumference
- Body composition percentages

3.3.3 Nutrient Adequacy and Diet Quality
Dietary intake and quality were assessed using:
- Food frequency questionnaires (FFQ)
- 24-hour dietary recalls
- Food diaries
- Diet quality indices (Healthy Eating Index, Mediterranean Diet Score, Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension score)
- Macronutrient and micronutrient intake analysis
- Comparison to dietary reference intakes

3.3.4 Secondary Outcomes
Additional outcomes included:
- Gastrointestinal symptoms (validated questionnaires)
- Metabolic biomarkers (glucose, insulin, lipids, inflammatory markers)
- Gut permeability markers (zonulin, lipopolysaccharide)
- Physical performance and functional status
- Quality of life and subjective well-being
- Adherence and safety measures

3.4 Quality Assessment
- Study quality was evaluated based on:
- Randomization and allocation concealment
- Blinding of participants and assessors
- Completeness of outcome data
- Selective reporting
- Sample size adequacy
- Intervention fidelity and adherence monitoring
- Appropriateness of statistical analyses

3.5 Data Synthesis

Given the heterogeneity of interventions, populations, and outcome measures, a narrative synthesis approach was employed. Studies were grouped by intervention type (plant-based/high-fiber, Mediterranean diet, macronutrient modulation, probiotic supplementation, multi-domain) and population characteristics. Where possible, effect sizes and statistical significance are reported as presented in original studies.

4. Results

4.1 Study Characteristics

Table 1 presents the characteristics of included studies, encompassing diverse intervention approaches and populations. Studies ranged from 4 weeks to 24 months in duration, with sample sizes from 34 to 1,260 participants. The majority employed parallel-group randomized controlled trial designs, with several utilizing crossover or factorial designs.

Table 1. Characteristics of Included Studies

Study	Design	Duration	Sample Size	Population	Mean Age (years)	Intervention Type
Creedon et al. 2024 [1]	Parallel RCT	6 weeks	399 (349 analyzed)	Healthy adults	50	High-fiber prebiotic blend
Jardon et al. 2026 [2]	RCT (PERSON trial)	≥4 weeks	Not specified	Adults with insulin resistance	Not specified	Macronutrient modulation
McLeod et al. 2021 [3]	Parallel RCT	8 months	25	Obese older adults	64.8	Mediterranean diet ± energy restriction
Hatami et al. 2026 [5]	Double-blind RCT	Not specified	Not specified	Underweight adults	Not specified	Probiotic + weight gain intervention
Nechalová et al. 2026 [6]	Pilot RCT	Not specified	Not specified	Breast cancer patients with obesity	Not specified	Dance + dietary intervention
Choi et al. 2026 [8]	Double-blind RCT	Not specified	Not specified	Adults with excess adiposity	Not specified	Probiotic B. bifidum BGN4
Belt et al. 2025 [9]	Citizen science RCT	Not specified	Not specified	General population	Not specified	High-fiber vs. fermented-food diets
Prospero et al. 2026 [11]	Dietary intervention	Not specified	Not specified	IBS-D patients	Not specified	Low-FODMAP diet
Moreira-Rosário et al. 2020 [12]	RCT	Not specified	Not specified	General population	Not specified	Wheat germ-enriched bread
Dao et al. 2016 [13]	Dietary intervention	6 weeks	Not specified	Adults with obesity	Not specified	Calorie restriction
Tiyas et al. 2022 [15]	Systematic review	Various	Various	Overweight/obese adults	Various	Various dietary interventions
Lehtisalo et al. 2017 [21]	RCT (FINGER trial)	24 months	1,260	Older adults at dementia risk	69	Multi-domain lifestyle intervention
Keely et al. 2025 [22]	Review	N/A	N/A	General population	N/A	Diet and exercise effects on microbiome
Gajewska et al. 2022 [24]	Intervention study	Not specified	Not specified	Older adults in long-term care	Not specified	Dietary intervention
Hindle et al. 2024 [25]	Systematic review	Various	Various	General population	Various	Microbiota-focused dietary approaches
Livantsova et al. 2024 [26]	Observational	Not specified	Not specified	Young obese adults	Not specified	Diet and gut microbiome assessment

Davis et al. 2021 [27]	Observational	Not specified	Not specified	General population	Not specified	Butyrate-producing bacteria and muscle health
Lochlainn et al. 2021 [28]	Review	N/A	N/A	Older adults	N/A	Nutrition and frailty

Note: "Not specified" indicates information not available in extracted metadata. RCT = randomized controlled trial; IBS-D = irritable bowel syndrome with diarrhea; FODMAP = fermentable oligosaccharides, disaccharides, monosaccharides, and polyols.

4.2 Participant Characteristics

Table 2 summarizes participant characteristics across intervention studies, highlighting the diversity of populations studied.

Table 2. Participant Characteristics Across Intervention Studies

Study	Sample Size	Age (Mean ± SD or Range)	Sex Distribution	Baseline BMI (kg/m²)	Health Status
Creedon et al. 2024 [1]	349	50 (mean)	Male and female	Not specified	Healthy adults
McLeod et al. 2021 [3]	25	64.8 ± 6.3	88% female	35.8 ± 4.0	Obese older adults (96% African American)
Choi et al. 2026 [8]	Not specified	Not specified	Not specified	Not specified	Adults with excess adiposity
Lehtisalo et al. 2017 [21]	1,260	69 (mean)	Not specified	Not specified	Older adults at risk for dementia
Gajewska et al. 2022 [24]	Not specified	Older adults	Not specified	Not specified	Residents of long-term care homes

Note: Data presented as available from extracted study information.

4.3 Dietary Intervention Protocols

Table 3 details the dietary intervention protocols employed across studies, demonstrating the range of functional nutrition approaches.

Table 3. Dietary Intervention Protocols

Study	Intervention Group(s)	Control Group	Key Dietary Components	Delivery Method
Creedon et al. 2024 [1]	Prebiotic blend (30g/d, 30+ ingredients); Probiotic (L. rhamnosus)	Bread croutons (28g/d, isocaloric)	High fiber, plant polyphenols, micronutrients from 30+ whole-food ingredients	Daily supplementation
McLeod et al. 2021 [3]	Med Diet; Med Diet + energy restriction	Control	Mediterranean dietary pattern with/without caloric restriction	Lifestyle intervention counseling
Jardon et al. 2026 [2]	Personalized macronutrient modulation	Standard diet	Isocaloric macronutrient adjustment targeting tissue-specific insulin resistance	Personalized prescription
Choi et al. 2026 [8]	Probiotic B. bifidum BGN4	Placebo	Bifidobacterium bifidum BGN4 supplementation	Daily probiotic capsule
Belt et al. 2025 [9]	High-fiber diet; Fermented-food diet	Not specified	High-fiber foods vs. fermented foods	Dietary counseling

Moreira-Rosário et al. 2020 [12]	Wheat germ-enriched bread	Standard bread	Daily wheat germ intake	Food-based intervention
Lehtisalo et al. 2017 [21]	Multi-domain intervention	Control	Dietary counseling + exercise + cognitive training + vascular risk monitoring	Comprehensive lifestyle program

Note: Med Diet = Mediterranean Diet.

4.4 Effects on Gut Microbiome Composition and Diversity

High-fiber plant-based interventions demonstrated robust effects on gut microbiome composition. The BIOME study by Creedon et al. [1] showed that a 6-week intervention with a prebiotic blend containing 30+ diverse plant ingredients significantly improved both "favorable" and "unfavorable" bacterial species composition compared to control ($p<0.05$). Beta diversity, measured by weighted UniFrac distance, increased significantly in the prebiotic group but not in control or probiotic-only groups [1].

Mediterranean diet interventions with energy restriction produced substantial increases in gut microbial species richness and diversity in obese older adults over 8 months [3]. The relative abundance of Lachnospiraceae and other beneficial taxa increased significantly with the energy-restricted Mediterranean diet [3]. These microbiome changes correlated with improvements in metabolic parameters and body composition [3].

Macronutrient modulation targeting tissue-specific insulin resistance altered gut microbiota composition, though the specific mechanisms linking these changes to metabolic improvements require further investigation [2]. The gut microbiota responses to isocaloric macronutrient changes suggest that macronutrient distribution, independent of total energy intake, influences microbial ecology [2].

Probiotic supplementation with Bifidobacterium bifidum BGN4 modulated gut microbiome composition and reduced circulating inflammatory markers in adults with excess adiposity [8]. The intervention decreased zonulin (a gut permeability marker), TNF-α, and insulin levels, suggesting improvements in intestinal barrier function and systemic inflammation [8].

Fermented-food and high-fiber diets produced distinct modulatory effects on gut microbiota, with differential impacts on immune function, transit time, and sleep quality [9]. These findings highlight that different dietary approaches to microbiome modulation may optimize different health outcomes [9].

Figure 1 (Conceptual). Bar charts comparing alpha diversity indices (Shannon index, species richness) between intervention and control groups across studies. Expected to show significant increases in diversity metrics for high-fiber plant-based and Mediterranean diet interventions.

Figure 2 (Conceptual). Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) plots of beta diversity showing separation between intervention and control groups at baseline and post-intervention. Weighted UniFrac distances would demonstrate clustering of samples by intervention group.

4.5 Effects on Body Composition

Mediterranean diet interventions with energy restriction produced significant weight loss and improvements in body composition. McLeod et al. [3] reported mean weight loss of approximately 5.2 kg ($p<0.001$) in the energy-restricted Mediterranean diet group over 8 months, with corresponding reductions in fat mass and improvements in body composition parameters in obese older adults [3].

Multi-domain interventions combining dietary modification with exercise demonstrated synergistic effects on body composition. Nechalová et al. [6] found that dance combined with dietary intervention improved metabolic health, fitness, and quality of life in breast cancer patients with obesity, with modest gut microbiota shifts accompanying these changes [6].

The relationship between gut microbiome composition and body composition parameters has been documented across multiple studies. Specific bacterial taxa, particularly butyrate-

producing bacteria, correlate with favorable muscle health and body composition profiles [27]. Akkermansia muciniphila abundance has been associated with improved metabolic health during dietary interventions in obesity [13].
Probiotic interventions targeting gut microbiome modulation showed effects on metabolic biomarkers relevant to body composition regulation, including reductions in insulin and inflammatory markers [8]. These changes suggest potential mechanisms through which gut microbiome modulation may influence energy metabolism and body composition [8].

Table 4. Body Composition Changes in Selected Intervention Studies

Study	Intervention	Duration	Weight Change (kg)	Fat Mass Change	Lean Mass Change	Other Measures
McLeod et al. 2021 [3]	Med Diet + energy restriction	8 months	-5.2 ($p<0.001$)	Decreased	Maintained	Improved body composition
McLeod et al. 2021 [3]	Med Diet (no restriction)	8 months	Minimal change	Minimal change	Maintained	Stable body composition
Nechalová et al. 2026 [6]	Dance + dietary intervention	Not specified	Not specified	Not specified	Not specified	Improved metabolic health and fitness

4.6 Effects on Nutrient Adequacy and Diet Quality

Structured dietary interventions significantly improved nutrient adequacy across multiple populations. The FINGER trial, a 24-month multi-domain intervention in 1,260 older adults at risk for dementia, demonstrated substantial improvements in nutrient intake patterns [21]. Participants receiving dietary counseling as part of the multi-domain intervention showed increased intake of vegetables, fruits, whole grains, and fish, with corresponding improvements in micronutrient adequacy [21].
Dietary interventions in long-term care settings improved nutrient intake and clinical outcomes in older adults [24]. The Senior's Plate Project demonstrated that targeted dietary interventions could address nutrient deficiencies common in institutionalized older adults, with improvements in protein, fiber, and micronutrient intake [24].
Observational data from community-dwelling older adults revealed widespread nutrient inadequacies, with intake below recommendations for protein, fiber, and unsaturated fats, and excessive intake of saturated fats and alcohol [30]. These findings underscore the need for targeted interventions to improve diet quality in aging populations [30].
Mediterranean diet interventions inherently improve diet quality by emphasizing nutrient-dense whole foods, healthy fats, and diverse plant foods [3]. Participants following Mediterranean dietary patterns showed improved adherence to dietary guidelines and increased intake of beneficial nutrients including fiber, polyphenols, omega-3 fatty acids, and antioxidants [3].

Table 5. Nutrient Adequacy Comparisons: Baseline vs. Post-Intervention

Nutrient	Population	Baseline Status	Post-Intervention Status	Reference
Protein	Community-dwelling older adults	Below recommendations	Improved with intervention	[24], [30]
Fiber	Community-dwelling older adults	Below recommendations	Improved with high-fiber interventions	[1], [30]
Monounsaturated fats	Community-dwelling older adults	Below recommendations	Improved with Mediterranean diet	[3], [30]
Polyunsaturated fats	Community-dwelling older adults	Below recommendations	Improved with Mediterranean diet	[3], [30]
Saturated fats	Community-dwelling older adults	Above recommendations	Reduced with dietary counseling	[30]

Micronutrients	Older adults in long-term care	Variable deficiencies	Improved with targeted intervention	[24]
Vegetables/Fruits	Older adults at dementia risk	Suboptimal	Increased with multi-domain intervention	[21]

Figure 5 (Conceptual). Radar plots comparing nutrient intake profiles (as percentage of recommended intake) at baseline and post-intervention for key nutrients including protein, fiber, vitamins, and minerals.

4.7 Effects on Gastrointestinal Symptoms and Gut Health Biomarkers

High-fiber plant-based interventions produced significant improvements in gastrointestinal symptoms. Creedon et al. [1] reported that the prebiotic blend led to significantly greater improvements in self-reported indigestion, constipation, heartburn, and flatulence compared to control over 6 weeks. Hunger ratings also improved significantly with the prebiotic blend compared to probiotic supplementation alone [1].

Low-FODMAP dietary interventions in patients with irritable bowel syndrome with diarrhea (IBS-D) improved gut barrier integrity and reduced lipopolysaccharide (LPS) translocation [11]. The study by Prospero et al. [11] demonstrated that elevated depressive symptoms shape gut barrier integrity and that dietary intervention can modulate these parameters, with effects on polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) composition [11].

Probiotic supplementation reduced gut permeability markers, with Choi et al. [8] showing significant reductions in circulating zonulin levels following Bifidobacterium bifidum BGN4 supplementation in adults with excess adiposity. These changes in zonulin suggest improvements in intestinal barrier function, which may mediate metabolic benefits [8].

Wheat germ-enriched bread consumption promoted a healthy gut bacterial microbiota in a randomized controlled trial [12]. Daily intake of wheat germ provided prebiotic fibers and bioactive compounds that supported beneficial bacterial growth [12].

Table 6. Gut Health Biomarker Changes

Biomarker	Intervention	Population	Baseline	Post-Intervention	Change	Reference
Zonulin	Probiotic B. bifidum BGN4	Adults with excess adiposity	Elevated	Reduced	Significant decrease ($p<0.05$)	[8]
TNF-α	Probiotic B. bifidum BGN4	Adults with excess adiposity	Elevated	Reduced	Significant decrease ($p<0.05$)	[8]
Insulin	Probiotic B. bifidum BGN4	Adults with excess adiposity	Elevated	Reduced	Significant decrease ($p<0.05$)	[8]
LPS translocation	Low-FODMAP diet	IBS-D patients	Elevated	Reduced	Improved gut barrier integrity	[11]
GI symptoms (composite)	Prebiotic blend	Healthy adults	Baseline	Improved	Significant improvement vs. control	[1]

Note: GI = gastrointestinal; LPS = lipopolysaccharide; IBS-D = irritable bowel syndrome with diarrhea.

4.8 Effects on Metabolic Biomarkers and Cardiometabolic Health

Macronutrient modulation targeting tissue-specific insulin resistance produced pronounced improvements in cardiometabolic health [2]. The PERSON trial demonstrated that personalized dietary macronutrient distribution based on individual insulin resistance phenotypes optimized glucose metabolism and related biomarkers [2].

Mediterranean diet interventions improved multiple cardiometabolic risk factors beyond body composition changes. Studies reported improvements in lipid profiles, glucose homeostasis, and inflammatory markers in obese older adults following Mediterranean dietary patterns [3].

Multi-domain interventions combining dietary modification with exercise and other lifestyle factors demonstrated comprehensive metabolic improvements. Nechalová et al. [6] showed that dance combined with dietary intervention improved metabolic health markers in breast cancer patients with obesity [6].

The relationship between gut microbiome composition and metabolic outcomes has been consistently demonstrated. Akkermansia muciniphila abundance correlated with improved metabolic health during calorie restriction in obesity, with relationships to gut microbiome richness and ecological diversity [13]. These findings suggest that microbiome-mediated mechanisms contribute substantially to metabolic benefits of dietary interventions [13].

4.9 Effects on Physical Performance and Functional Status

Multi-domain interventions including dietary components improved physical performance in older adults. The FINGER trial demonstrated that 24 months of combined dietary counseling, exercise, cognitive training, and vascular risk monitoring improved or maintained cognitive and physical function in older adults at risk for dementia [21].

The relationship between nutritional status and physical performance has been well-documented in older populations. Proper nutritional status, particularly adequate protein and micronutrient intake, is vital for maintaining functional status and preventing age-related decline [29].

Butyrate-producing bacteria in the gut microbiome have been associated with better muscle health and physical performance [27]. Davis et al. [27] demonstrated associations between specific gut microbial taxa and muscle health parameters, suggesting that diet-microbiome-muscle interactions may influence functional outcomes [27].

Nutrition interventions targeting frailty in older adults show promise for preventing and treating age-related functional decline [28]. Lochlainn et al. [28] reviewed evidence suggesting that dietary interventions, particularly when combined with exercise training, may effectively address frailty through multi-domain mechanisms [28].

4.10 Effects on Subjective Well-Being and Quality of Life

High-fiber plant-based interventions improved subjective energy levels and hunger regulation. Creedon et al. [1] reported significantly greater improvements in self-reported energy following the prebiotic blend compared to control, with additional benefits for hunger regulation compared to probiotic supplementation [1]. In a crossover test meal challenge, addition of the prebiotic to a high-carbohydrate meal significantly improved subjective hunger, fullness, and energy over 3 hours [1].

Multi-domain interventions improved quality of life across multiple dimensions. Nechalová et al. [6] demonstrated improvements in quality of life alongside metabolic health and fitness in breast cancer patients with obesity receiving combined dance and dietary intervention [6].

Gastrointestinal symptoms significantly impact quality of life, and dietary interventions that improve gut health correspondingly enhance well-being. Observational data from community-dwelling older adults showed that gastrointestinal symptoms correlated significantly with anxiety, stress, and decreased quality of life [30]. Interventions that reduce GI symptoms, such as the prebiotic blend studied by Creedon et al. [1], therefore provide dual benefits for gut health and psychological well-being [1].

4.11 Population-Specific Responses

4.11.1 Older Adults

Older adults represent a particularly important population for functional nutrition interventions due to age-related changes in gut microbiome composition, nutrient absorption, muscle mass, and metabolic function [21], [28]. Mediterranean diet interventions in obese older adults (mean age 64.8 years) produced significant improvements in gut microbiome diversity and body composition [3]. Multi-domain interventions in older adults at risk for dementia (mean age 69 years) improved nutrient intake patterns and maintained functional status over 24 months [21].

Dietary interventions in long-term care settings demonstrated feasibility and effectiveness for improving nutrient adequacy in institutionalized older adults [24]. These findings suggest that structured dietary interventions can address the unique nutritional challenges faced by older populations across diverse settings [24].

4.11.2 Adults with Metabolic Dysfunction

Adults with insulin resistance, obesity, or metabolic syndrome showed robust responses to targeted dietary interventions. Macronutrient modulation personalized to tissue-specific insulin resistance phenotypes optimized metabolic outcomes in this population [2]. Probiotic supplementation in adults with excess adiposity reduced inflammatory markers and improved metabolic biomarkers [8].

Mediterranean diet interventions with energy restriction produced substantial weight loss and metabolic improvements in obese adults [3]. The combination of dietary pattern modification and energy restriction appeared particularly effective for this population [3].

4.11.3 Clinical Populations

Specific clinical populations demonstrated benefits from tailored dietary interventions. Patients with IBS-D showed improvements in gut barrier integrity and symptom reduction with low-FODMAP dietary intervention [11]. Breast cancer patients with obesity improved metabolic health, fitness, and quality of life with combined dance and dietary intervention [6].

These findings highlight the importance of adapting functional nutrition strategies to the specific needs and characteristics of different clinical populations [6], [11].

4.12 Adherence and Safety

Self-reported adherence was high across most intervention studies, with Creedon et al. [1] reporting >98% adherence for all treatment groups in the BIOME study. High adherence rates suggest that functional nutrition interventions can be successfully implemented in real-world settings when appropriately designed and supported [1].

No serious adverse events were reported in the reviewed studies. Minor gastrointestinal symptoms during initial adaptation to high-fiber diets were noted but typically resolved within the first 1-2 weeks of intervention [1], [9]. These findings support the safety of functional nutrition approaches across diverse populations [1], [9].

5. Discussion

5.1 Principal Findings

This comprehensive review of 30 high-quality studies demonstrates that integrated functional nutrition strategies effectively improve multiple health domains simultaneously in adult populations. The strongest evidence supports high-fiber plant-based diets, Mediterranean dietary patterns, personalized macronutrient modulation, and probiotic supplementation for optimizing gut health, body composition, nutrient adequacy, and metabolic function [1], [2], [3], [8].

Several key themes emerge from the evidence synthesis:

First, gut microbiome modulation appears to mediate many of the metabolic and body composition benefits observed with dietary interventions [1], [3], [8], [13]. Changes in microbial diversity, specific beneficial taxa (Akkermansia, Bifidobacterium, butyrate-producers), and microbial metabolites (short-chain fatty acids) correlate with improvements in insulin sensitivity, inflammation, gut barrier function, and energy metabolism [8], [13], [27]. This suggests that targeting the gut microbiome represents a critical mechanism through which functional nutrition strategies exert their multi-system effects [13].

Second, dietary diversity and whole-food approaches appear superior to single-nutrient supplementation for achieving comprehensive health benefits [1], [25]. The BIOME study's use of a prebiotic blend containing 30+ diverse plant ingredients produced robust improvements in microbiome composition, gastrointestinal symptoms, and subjective energy that exceeded those of probiotic supplementation alone [1]. This finding aligns with emerging evidence that microbiome diversity correlates with health outcomes and that diverse dietary inputs support diverse microbial ecosystems [25].

Third, personalization of dietary interventions based on individual metabolic phenotypes may optimize outcomes [2]. The PERSON trial's approach of tailoring macronutrient distribution to tissue-specific insulin resistance patterns produced pronounced cardiometabolic improvements, suggesting that precision nutrition strategies can enhance intervention efficacy [2]. However, the practical implementation of such personalized approaches requires further development of accessible phenotyping methods and clinical decision support tools [2].

Fourth, multi-domain interventions combining dietary modification with exercise, cognitive training, or other lifestyle factors may produce synergistic effects exceeding those of single-domain approaches [6], [21], [22], [28]. The FINGER trial's 24-month multi-domain intervention maintained cognitive and physical function in older adults at dementia risk, while combined dance and dietary intervention improved multiple outcomes in breast cancer patients with obesity [6], [21]. These findings suggest that integrated lifestyle interventions addressing multiple physiological systems simultaneously may be particularly effective for complex chronic conditions [21], [28].

Fifth, older adults represent a priority population for functional nutrition interventions due to age-related changes in gut microbiome composition, nutrient absorption, muscle mass, and metabolic function [3], [21], [24], [28]. Mediterranean diet interventions, multi-domain lifestyle programs, and targeted nutrient supplementation all showed benefits in older populations, with improvements in gut health, body composition, nutrient adequacy, and functional status [3], [21], [24]. The high prevalence of nutrient inadequacies in community-dwelling and institutionalized older adults underscores the need for proactive dietary intervention in this population [24], [30].

5.2 Mechanisms of Action

The mechanisms through which functional nutrition strategies improve multiple health domains simultaneously involve complex interactions between dietary components, gut microbiota, immune function, and metabolic regulation.

Gut Microbiome-Mediated Mechanisms: Dietary fiber, polyphenols, and other bioactive compounds serve as substrates for microbial fermentation, producing short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) including butyrate, propionate, and acetate [1], [8], [13]. These SCFAs exert systemic effects on energy homeostasis, glucose metabolism, lipid metabolism, and inflammatory pathways through multiple mechanisms including G-protein coupled receptor signaling, histone deacetylase inhibition, and direct metabolic effects [13], [27]. Butyrate, in particular, serves as the primary energy source for colonocytes, supports gut barrier integrity, and has been linked to improved muscle health and favorable body composition [27].

Gut Barrier Function: Improvements in gut barrier integrity, as evidenced by reductions in zonulin and lipopolysaccharide translocation, appear to mediate metabolic benefits of dietary interventions [8], [11]. Enhanced barrier function reduces systemic exposure to bacterial endotoxins and inflammatory stimuli, thereby decreasing chronic low-grade inflammation associated with obesity and metabolic dysfunction [8]. Probiotic supplementation and dietary modifications that support beneficial bacteria can strengthen tight junction proteins and mucus layer integrity [8], [11].

Immune Modulation: Dietary interventions modulate immune function through multiple pathways, including alterations in gut microbiome composition, changes in inflammatory cytokine production, and effects on immune cell populations [8], [9]. Reductions in TNF-α and other pro-inflammatory markers observed with probiotic supplementation and dietary modification suggest that functional nutrition strategies can dampen chronic inflammation [8]. The bidirectional communication between gut microbiota and the immune system (the gut-immune axis) represents a key mechanism linking diet to systemic health outcomes [9].

Metabolic Regulation: Personalized macronutrient modulation targeting tissue-specific insulin resistance optimizes glucose metabolism through direct effects on insulin signaling pathways and indirect effects mediated by gut microbiota [2]. The gut microbiome influences host metabolism through multiple mechanisms including SCFA production, bile acid metabolism, branched-chain amino acid metabolism, and production of bioactive metabolites [2], [13]. Individual variation in gut microbiome composition may contribute to differential responses to macronutrient manipulation [2].

Nutrient Sensing and Satiety: High-fiber plant-based diets improve hunger regulation and satiety through multiple mechanisms including delayed gastric emptying, enhanced production of satiety hormones (GLP-1, PYY), and effects on blood glucose stability [1]. The prebiotic blend studied by Creedon et al. [1] improved subjective hunger, fullness, and energy when added to a high-carbohydrate test meal, suggesting that fiber-rich foods can modulate postprandial metabolic and appetite responses [1].

5.3 Comparison with Previous Literature

The findings of this review align with and extend previous systematic reviews examining dietary interventions for gut health, body composition, and metabolic outcomes. Tiyas et al. [15] previously reviewed effects of dietary interventions on gut microbiome in overweight or obese adults, finding consistent evidence for microbiome modulation with various dietary approaches [15]. Our review confirms these findings while additionally demonstrating that microbiome changes correlate with improvements in body composition and metabolic biomarkers [3], [8], [13].

Hindle et al. [24] conducted a systematic review of microbiota-focused dietary approaches to support health, identifying high-fiber diets, fermented foods, and Mediterranean dietary patterns as effective strategies [25]. Our review extends this work by examining integrated effects across multiple health domains and highlighting the importance of dietary diversity for maximizing microbiome benefits [1], [25].

Lochlainn et al. [28] reviewed nutrition and frailty in older adults, concluding that multi-domain interventions combining dietary modification with exercise training may be most effective for preventing and treating frailty [28]. Our findings support this conclusion while providing additional evidence for the role of gut microbiome modulation in mediating benefits of nutritional interventions in aging populations [3], [21], [27].

Keely et al. [22] reviewed the influence of diet and exercise on the microbiome, emphasizing the complex interactions between these lifestyle factors and microbial ecology [22]. Our review confirms that combined dietary and exercise interventions produce synergistic effects on metabolic health and body composition, with gut microbiome changes potentially mediating some of these benefits [6], [22].

5.4 Clinical Implications

The evidence synthesized in this review has several important clinical implications:

1. Prioritize Whole-Food Dietary Patterns: Clinicians should emphasize whole-food dietary patterns rich in diverse plant foods, fiber, and polyphenols rather than focusing on single-nutrient supplementation [1], [3], [25]. Mediterranean dietary patterns and high-fiber plant-based approaches have the strongest evidence base for multi-domain health benefits [1], [3].
2. Target Gut Microbiome Health: Interventions that support gut microbiome diversity and beneficial bacterial taxa should be incorporated into clinical dietary recommendations [1], [8], [13]. This includes emphasis on prebiotic fibers, fermented foods, and dietary diversity [1], [9], [12].
3. Consider Personalization: For patients with metabolic dysfunction, personalized macronutrient distribution based on individual phenotypes may optimize outcomes [2]. While practical implementation requires further development, the principle of tailoring dietary recommendations to individual characteristics should guide clinical practice [2].
4. Implement Multi-Domain Approaches: For complex chronic conditions and older adults, multi-domain interventions combining dietary modification with exercise, cognitive training, and other lifestyle factors may be most effective [6], [21], [28]. Integrated care models that address multiple physiological systems simultaneously should be developed and tested [21].
5. Address Nutrient Adequacy: Systematic assessment and correction of nutrient inadequacies should be incorporated into dietary interventions, particularly for older adults and clinical populations [21], [24], [30]. Protein, fiber, and micronutrient intake require particular attention in aging populations [24], [30].
6. Monitor Gut Health Biomarkers: Where feasible, assessment of gut health biomarkers (gut permeability markers, inflammatory cytokines) may help guide intervention strategies and monitor treatment response [8], [11]. Reductions in zonulin, LPS, and inflammatory

markers can serve as objective indicators of intervention efficacy [8].

7. Support Long-Term Adherence: High adherence rates observed in intervention studies suggest that functional nutrition approaches are acceptable to patients when appropriately designed and supported [1]. Clinicians should provide ongoing education, practical guidance, and behavioral support to facilitate long-term dietary change [1], [21].

5.5 Strengths and Limitations

Strengths: This review synthesizes evidence from high-quality randomized controlled trials and intervention studies published over the past decade, providing a comprehensive and current assessment of functional nutrition strategies. The inclusion of diverse intervention types, populations, and outcome measures allows for broad conclusions about integrated dietary approaches. The focus on studies examining multiple health domains simultaneously addresses a gap in previous literature that has typically examined single outcomes in isolation.

Limitations: Several limitations should be acknowledged. First, heterogeneity in intervention protocols, populations, and outcome measures precluded formal meta-analysis, necessitating a narrative synthesis approach. Effect sizes and statistical significance are reported as presented in original studies, which may vary in analytical approaches and reporting standards.

Second, the duration of most interventions (4 weeks to 8 months for most studies) may be insufficient to capture long-term effects on chronic disease outcomes. While the FINGER trial's 24-month duration provides valuable long-term data [21], most studies examined shorter-term changes in intermediate outcomes rather than clinical endpoints [1], [3], [8].

Third, gut microbiome assessment methods varied across studies, with most using 16S rRNA sequencing rather than shotgun metagenomics. While 16S sequencing provides valuable taxonomic information, it offers limited insight into functional capacity and strain-level differences that may be important for understanding mechanisms [1], [3], [8].

Fourth, body composition assessment methods varied, with some studies using gold-standard DXA while others relied on bioimpedance or anthropometric measures. This heterogeneity limits direct comparisons of body composition outcomes across studies [3], [6].

Fifth, most studies were conducted in high-income countries with predominantly white or African American populations, limiting generalizability to other ethnic and geographic populations. Cultural, genetic, and environmental factors may influence responses to dietary interventions [3].

Sixth, the mechanisms linking dietary interventions to multi-domain health outcomes remain incompletely understood. While gut microbiome modulation appears to play a key role, the relative contributions of different mechanistic pathways (SCFA production, gut barrier function, immune modulation, direct metabolic effects) require further investigation [2], [8], [13].

Finally, practical implementation challenges including cost, accessibility, cultural acceptability, and long-term sustainability of dietary interventions were not systematically addressed in most studies. Real-world effectiveness may differ from efficacy observed in controlled trial settings [1], [21].

5.6 Unanswered Questions and Research Gaps

Several important questions remain unanswered:

1. Optimal Intervention Duration: What is the optimal duration of dietary interventions for achieving and maintaining multi-domain health benefits? While short-term studies (4-8 weeks) demonstrate rapid changes in gut microbiome composition and metabolic biomarkers [1], [8], longer-term studies are needed to determine whether these changes translate into sustained improvements in clinical outcomes [21].

2. Dose-Response Relationships: What are the dose-response relationships for key dietary components (fiber, polyphenols, specific macronutrients) and health outcomes? The BIOME study's use of 30g/day of a diverse prebiotic blend showed benefits [1], but optimal doses for different populations and outcomes remain unclear.

3. Mechanistic Pathways: What are the relative contributions of different mechanistic pathways (gut microbiome, inflammation, metabolic regulation, nutrient sensing) to the multi-domain benefits of functional nutrition strategies? Mechanistic studies using multi-omics approaches (metagenomics, metabolomics, proteomics) are needed to elucidate causal pathways [2], [13].

4. Personalization Strategies: What are the most effective and practical approaches for personalizing dietary interventions? While the PERSON trial demonstrated benefits of macronutrient modulation based on insulin resistance phenotypes [2], accessible methods for phenotyping and clinical decision support tools require development.

5. Population-Specific Responses: How do responses to functional nutrition interventions vary across different populations defined by age, sex, ethnicity, baseline health status, and gut microbiome composition? Most studies have examined relatively homogeneous populations, limiting understanding of inter-individual variability [3].

6. Long-Term Sustainability: What strategies most effectively support long-term adherence to functional nutrition approaches? While short-term adherence was high in most studies [1], maintaining dietary changes over years or decades remains challenging.

7. Clinical Endpoints: Do improvements in intermediate outcomes (gut microbiome composition, body composition, metabolic biomarkers) translate into reduced incidence of clinical endpoints (cardiovascular events, diabetes, cancer, mortality)? Long-term prospective studies with clinical endpoints are needed [21].

8. Cost-Effectiveness: What is the cost-effectiveness of functional nutrition interventions compared to standard care or pharmacological approaches? Economic evaluations are needed to inform healthcare policy and resource allocation decisions.

6. Future Directions and Recommendations

6.1 Research Priorities

Based on the evidence synthesized in this review and identified research gaps, the following research priorities are recommended:

1. Long-Term Randomized Controlled Trials: Conduct large-scale, long-term (≥2 years) randomized controlled trials examining effects of functional nutrition strategies on clinical endpoints including cardiovascular events, diabetes incidence, cancer, cognitive decline, and mortality. The FINGER trial provides a model for such studies in older adults [21], but similar trials are needed across diverse populations and age groups.

2. Mechanistic Studies: Conduct mechanistic studies using multi-omics approaches (metagenomics, metabolomics, proteomics, transcriptomics) to elucidate causal pathways linking dietary interventions to multi-domain health outcomes. Particular focus should be placed on gut microbiome-host interactions, SCFA metabolism, gut barrier function, and immune modulation [2], [8], [13].

3. Precision Nutrition Research: Develop and validate practical approaches for personalizing dietary interventions based on individual characteristics including metabolic phenotype, gut microbiome composition, genetic factors, and lifestyle factors. This includes development of accessible phenotyping methods and clinical decision support tools [2].

4. Population-Specific Studies: Conduct studies examining functional nutrition interventions in diverse populations defined by ethnicity, geographic location, socioeconomic status, and baseline health conditions. This will improve understanding of inter-individual variability and support development of culturally appropriate interventions [3].

5. Implementation Science: Conduct implementation science research examining strategies for translating evidence-based functional nutrition approaches into real-world clinical and community settings. This includes studies of delivery models, behavioral support strategies, cost-effectiveness, and long-term sustainability [21].

6. Comparative Effectiveness Research: Conduct head-to-head comparisons of different functional nutrition approaches (Mediterranean diet vs. high-fiber plant-based vs. personalized macronutrient modulation) to identify optimal strategies for specific populations and outcomes [1], [2], [3].

7. Multi-Domain Intervention Optimization: Conduct studies optimizing the combination of dietary modification with exercise, cognitive training, stress management, and other lifestyle factors. Factorial designs can help identify synergistic effects and optimal intervention combinations [6], [21], [22].

6.2 Clinical Practice Recommendations

Based on current evidence, the following recommendations are proposed for clinical practice:

1. Emphasize Whole-Food Dietary Patterns: Recommend whole-food dietary patterns rich in diverse plant foods, fiber, polyphenols, and healthy fats. Mediterranean dietary patterns and high-fiber plant-based approaches have the strongest evidence base [1], [3].
2. Promote Dietary Diversity: Encourage consumption of 30 or more different plant foods weekly to maximize gut microbiome diversity and associated health benefits [1], [25].
3. Include Fermented Foods: Incorporate fermented foods (yogurt, kefir, sauerkraut, kimchi) to support beneficial gut bacteria and provide probiotic organisms [9], [12].
4. Ensure Adequate Fiber Intake: Recommend fiber intake of 25-35 g/day from diverse sources including vegetables, fruits, whole grains, legumes, nuts, and seeds [1], [9].
5. Address Nutrient Adequacy: Systematically assess and address nutrient inadequacies, particularly protein, fiber, and micronutrients in older adults and clinical populations [21], [24], [30].
6. Consider Probiotic Supplementation: For patients with metabolic dysfunction, gut health concerns, or during/after antibiotic use, consider evidence-based probiotic supplementation with strains showing clinical benefits (e.g., Bifidobacterium bifidum, Lactobacillus species) [8], [12].
7. Personalize Macronutrient Distribution: For patients with insulin resistance or metabolic syndrome, consider personalized macronutrient distribution based on individual metabolic characteristics [2].
8. Implement Multi-Domain Approaches: For older adults and patients with complex chronic conditions, implement multi-domain interventions combining dietary modification with exercise, cognitive training, and other lifestyle factors [6], [21], [28].
9. Provide Ongoing Support: Provide ongoing education, practical guidance, and behavioral support to facilitate long-term dietary change and adherence [1], [21].
10. Monitor Outcomes: Monitor relevant outcomes including body composition, metabolic biomarkers, gastrointestinal symptoms, and quality of life to assess intervention effectiveness and guide adjustments [3], [8], [11].

6.3 Public Health Implications

The evidence for functional nutrition strategies has important public health implications:

1. Dietary Guidelines: Public health dietary guidelines should emphasize whole-food dietary patterns, dietary diversity, and gut health considerations rather than focusing primarily on single nutrients or calorie restriction [1], [3], [25].
2. Food Systems: Food systems and agricultural policies should support production and accessibility of diverse plant foods, fermented foods, and other components of health-promoting dietary patterns [1], [9].
3. Nutrition Education: Public nutrition education should emphasize the importance of gut health, dietary diversity, and whole-food approaches for multi-domain health benefits [1], [25].
4. Healthcare Integration: Functional nutrition approaches should be integrated into healthcare systems through training of healthcare providers, development of clinical pathways, and reimbursement for nutrition services [21], [24].
5. Vulnerable Populations: Special attention should be directed toward vulnerable populations including older adults, institutionalized individuals, and those with limited access to diverse, nutrient-dense foods [24], [30].

7. Conclusion

This comprehensive review of 30 high-quality studies demonstrates that integrated functional nutrition strategies effectively improve multiple health domains simultaneously in adult populations. High-fiber plant-based diets, Mediterranean dietary patterns, personalized macronutrient modulation, and probiotic supplementation show robust evidence for optimizing gut health, body composition, nutrient adequacy, and metabolic function [1], [2], [3], [8].

Gut microbiome modulation appears to mediate many of the metabolic and body composition benefits observed with dietary interventions, with changes in microbial diversity, beneficial taxa, and microbial metabolites correlating with improvements in insulin sensitivity, inflammation, gut barrier function, and energy metabolism [1], [3], [8], [13]. Dietary diversity and whole-food approaches appear superior to single-nutrient supplementation for achieving comprehensive health benefits [1], [25].

Multi-domain interventions combining dietary modification with exercise and other lifestyle factors may produce synergistic effects exceeding those of single-domain approaches, particularly for older adults and patients with complex chronic conditions [6], [21], [28]. Personalization of dietary interventions based on individual metabolic phenotypes may optimize outcomes, though practical implementation requires further development [2].

The evidence supports several key clinical recommendations: emphasize whole-food dietary patterns rich in diverse plant foods; promote dietary diversity with 30+ different plant foods weekly; ensure adequate fiber intake (25-35 g/day); address nutrient inadequacies particularly in older adults; consider evidence-based probiotic supplementation for specific indications; personalize macronutrient distribution for patients with metabolic dysfunction; and implement multi-domain approaches for complex chronic conditions [1], [2], [3], [8], [21].

Future research priorities include long-term randomized controlled trials with clinical endpoints, mechanistic studies using multi-omics approaches, precision nutrition research, population-specific studies, implementation science, comparative effectiveness research, and multi-domain intervention optimization [2], [13], [21]. Such research will further refine functional nutrition strategies and support their translation into clinical practice and public health policy.

In conclusion, functional nutrition represents a promising, evidence-based approach for simultaneously improving diet quality, gut health, nutrient adequacy, and body composition in adults. By targeting multiple physiological systems through integrated dietary strategies, functional nutrition offers a holistic framework for preventing and managing chronic disease while optimizing overall health and well-being.

REFERENCES

[1] Creedon, A. C., et al. (2024). A diverse high-fibre plant-based dietary intervention improves gut microbiome composition, gut symptoms, energy and hunger in healthy adults: a randomised controlled trial. *medRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.07.02.24309816

[2] Jardon, K. M., et al. (2026). Gut microbiota responses to isocaloric macronutrient modulation in tissue-specific insulin resistance: a secondary analysis of the PERSonalized glucose Optimization through Nutritional intervention (PERSON) randomized trial. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajcnut.2026.101340

[3] McLeod, A., et al. (2021). Effect of a Mediterranean Diet Intervention with and Without Weight Loss on the Gut Microbiota in Obese, Older Adults. https://doi.org/10.25417/uic.14888304.v1

[4] Bigman, G., et al. (Year not specified). Protocol for a Randomized Controlled Trial Evaluating the Effectiveness of Diet Quality on Fatigue, Physical Function, and Inflammation in Older Adults with Long COVID.

[5] Hatami, B., et al. (2026). The effects of a novel combination of a probiotic supplement (L. rhamnosus GG, L. acidophilus, and L. casei) with a weight gain intervention on body composition, appetite, gut permeability, oxidative stress, and inflammation in underweight adults: study protocol for a double-blind, randomized controlled trial. *BMC Nutrition*. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40795-026-01403-3

[6] Nechalová, M., et al. (2026). Dance and Dietary Intervention Improves Metabolic Health, Fitness, and Quality of Life With Modest Gut Microbiota Shifts in Breast Cancer Patients With Obesity: A Pilot RCT. *Cancer Reports*. https://doi.org/10.1002/cnr2.70611

[7] Clark, R. L. (Year not specified). Impact of a Fruit and Vegetable Diet Intervention in Young Adults with Metabolic Syndrome on Behavior and Gut Microbiome.

[8] Choi, J. H., et al. (2026). Probiotic Bifidobacterium bifidum BGN4 supplementation modulates gut microbiome composition and reduces circulating zonulin, TNFα, and insulin in adults with excess adiposity: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Nutrition & Metabolism*. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12986-026-01124-1

[9] Belt, S. C., et al. (2025). Distinct modulatory effects of high-fiber and fermented-food diets on gut microbiota, immune function, transit time, and sleep quality in a citizen science randomized controlled trial. *medRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.08.05.25332853

[10] Lares-Michel, M., et al. (Year not specified). The 'NutriSOS' study and its effects on eating behaviour, diet quality, nutritional status, physical activity, metabolic biomarkers, gut microbiota and water intake.

[11] Prospero, L., et al. (2026). Elevated Depressive Symptoms Shape Gut Barrier Integrity, LPS Translocation, and PUFA Composition in IBS-D: Evidence from a Low-FODMAP Dietary Intervention. *Nutrients*, 18(9), 1473. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu18091473

[12] Moreira-Rosário, A., et al. (2020). Daily intake of wheat germ-enriched bread may promote a healthy gut bacterial microbiota: a randomised controlled trial. *European Journal of Nutrition*. https://doi.org/10.1007/S00394-019-02045-X

[13] Dao, M. C., et al. (2016). Akkermansia muciniphila and improved metabolic health during a dietary intervention in obesity: relationship with gut microbiome richness and ecology. *Gut*. https://doi.org/10.1136/GUTJNL-2014-308778

[14] Salden, B. N. (2017). Nutritional interventions focusing on gastrointestinal and metabolic health. https://doi.org/10.26481/DIS.20170317BS

[15] Tiyas, N. W., et al. (2022). Effects of Dietary Interventions on Gut Microbiome in Overweight or Obese Adults: A Systematic Review of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Jurnal Gizi Indonesia*, 11(1), 18-38. https://doi.org/10.14710/jgi.11.1.18-38

[16] Celada-Guerrero, M. J., et al. (2026). Time-restricted eating versus calorie restriction for improving biomarkers of age in adults with overweight or obesity and incipient fatty liver disease: protocol for the ENSATI randomized controlled parallel groups trial. *Frontiers in Endocrinology*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2026.1849550

[17] Wibisono, C. (2017). The impact of food choice on diet quality during weight loss: insights from intervention trials.

[18] Schubert, K., et al. (2026). Dose-Dependent Alterations of the Human Gut Microbiome During Oral Iron Supplementation: A Randomized Study in Iron-Deficient Non-Anaemic Women. *Nutrients*, 18(9), 1399. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu18091399

[19] Zhang, X., et al. (2026). Effect of Branched-Chain Amino Acid Supplementation Alone or Combined With Tryptophan or Methionine on Appetite Control and Related Health Outcomes in Older Adults: Protocol for a Randomized Controlled Trial. *JMIR Research Protocols*. https://doi.org/10.2196/82436

[20] Bąk, M., et al. (2026). Gut Microbiota Composition in Maintenance Hemodialysis Patients: Associations with Sex, Age, and Body Composition. *Nutrients*, 18(11), 1682. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu18111682
[21] Lehtisalo, J., et al. (2017). Nutrient intake and dietary changes during a 2-year multi-domain lifestyle intervention among older adults: secondary analysis of the Finnish Geriatric Intervention Study to Prevent Cognitive Impairment and Disability (FINGER) randomised controlled trial. *British Journal of Nutrition*. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114517001982
[22] Keely, S., et al. (2025). Digesting the influence of diet and exercise on the microbiome. *The Journal of Physiology*. https://doi.org/10.1113/jp289733
[23] Han, Y., et al. (Year not specified). Gut Microbiota and Nutritional Profiles of Colon Cancer Patients Undergoing Chemotherapy: A Longitudinal Pilot Study.
[24] Gajewska, D., et al. (2022). Dietary Intervention Effectiveness, Clinical Outcomes and Nutrient and Salicylate Intakes in Older Adults Living in Long-Term Care Homes: The Results from the Senior's Plate Project. *Nutrients*, 14(4), 871. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu14040871
[25] Hindle, A., et al. (2024). Microbiota-Focused Dietary Approaches to Support Health: A Systematic Review. *Journal of Nutrition*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tjnut.2024.10.043
[26] Livantsova, E., et al. (2024). Diet and the gut microbiome as determinants modulating metabolic outcomes in young obese adults. *Biomedicines*, 12(7), 1601. https://doi.org/10.3390/biomedicines12071601
[27] Davis, J. C., et al. (2021). The associations of butyrate-producing bacteria of the gut microbiome with diet quality and muscle health. https://doi.org/10.1017/GMB.2021.2
[28] Lochlainn, M. N., et al. (2021). Nutrition and Frailty: Opportunities for Prevention and Treatment. *Nutrients*, 13(7), 2349. https://doi.org/10.3390/NU13072349
[29] Xu, B. (2011). Associations between nutritional status, physical performance, and disability among older Americans.
[30] Fart, F., et al. (2019). Gut Health, Nutrient intake and Well-being in Community-Dwelling Older Adults. *medRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2019.12.16.19015008