

PHYSICAL FITNESS AND THEIR ASSOCIATION WITH LOW BACK PAIN ACROSS GESTATIONAL TRIMESTERS

¹Samreen Sultana, ²Dr. Tariq Hassan, ³Dr. Jalal Uddin, ⁴Dr. Habib Ahmad Khan
⁵Dr. Wasi Ullah, ⁶Dr. Masood

¹College of Nursing, JPMC Karachi

²Department of Medicine Timergara Teaching Hospital Dir lower

³Health Department, District Health Administration, Charsadda

⁴Health Department, District Health Administration, Charsadda

⁵Health Department, District Health Administration, Charsadda

⁶Health Department, District Health Administration, Charsadda

Corresponding Authors: *

DOI <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17379611>

Received	Accepted	Published
29 Aug, 2025	30 Sept, 2025	01 Oct, 2025

ABSTRACT

Background: Pregnancy-related low back pain (LBP) is a widespread yet poorly managed condition. While physical activity is recommended, the specific relationship between objectively measured physical fitness and LBP throughout pregnancy remains unclear, hindering the development of effective exercise interventions. **Objective:** This study aimed to investigate the association between comprehensive physical fitness and the prevalence of LBP in pregnant women during mid- and late-gestation. **Methods:** In a cross-sectional study at Sir Syed College of Nursing and Allied Health Sciences, Karachi, 180 pregnant women were divided into mid-gestation (14-28 weeks, n=101) and late-gestation (28-37 weeks, n=79) groups. LBP was assessed using the Oswestry Disability Index (ODI). Physical fitness was objectively evaluated using a validated 23-component test system measuring core strength, flexibility, balance, and muscular endurance. **Results:** A high prevalence of LBP was observed (77.23% in mid-gestation; 72.15% in late-gestation). As pregnancy progressed, significant declines were found in key fitness components: back strength decreased from 32.09 ± 10.22 kg to 28.59 ± 9.07 kg, flexibility worsened from -1.80 ± 7.63 cm to -7.46 ± 9.63 cm, and balance (left blind balance) reduced from 7.30 ± 8.04 seconds to 4.78 ± 4.20 seconds. LBP was negatively correlated with performance in lower limb and gluteal strength exercises, such as standing heel raises and glute kickbacks. **Conclusion:** The decline in physical fitness, particularly in core strength, flexibility, and balance, is significantly associated with LBP during pregnancy. These findings highlight the critical need for targeted exercise programs that strengthen the core and lower limb muscles to alleviate and potentially prevent pregnancy-related low back pain.

Keywords: Pregnancy-Related Low Back Pain, Physical Fitness, Gestational Trimesters, Core Strength, Exercise Intervention.

INTRODUCTION

Low back pain (LBP) is a common complication of pregnancy, frequently involving sacroiliac joint dysfunction and muscular weakness [1]. Movement control exercises designed to enhance muscular strength and spinal posture have proven effective in reducing the associated pain and disability [2]. With a growing consensus on the safety of physical activity during gestation, it is now widely recommended to alleviate pregnancy-related symptoms [3-5]—a stance strongly endorsed by the World Health Organization for women without contraindications [6-8]. Although targeted exercise regimens for pregnancy-related LBP exist [9-11], a comprehensive review of 34 clinical trials found only low-quality evidence supporting the pain-relieving benefits of land-based exercise [12]. This underscores a significant evidence gap and calls for a deeper investigation into the connection between LBP and objectively measured physical fitness. While studies link self-reported fitness to reduced pain [13] and implicate daily activities in LBP onset [14], the field lacks robust tools for the objective, comprehensive assessment of physical fitness in pregnant populations [15]. This methodological shortcoming, coupled with a poor understanding of how fitness and LBP interact across gestational stages, has hindered the development of truly effective exercise programs. To address this, we developed a specialized 23-component physical fitness testing system for pregnant women. This system, crafted through expert consensus and validated in a pilot study with 60 participants, is a safe, practical, and scientific tool for objective assessment [16].

This study aimed to employ this novel system to evaluate the physical fitness of pregnant women, quantify LBP using the Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) [17], and analyze the correlation between these metrics. Our goal is to provide a methodological foundation for objective fitness measurement in pregnancy and to establish an

evidence base for developing targeted exercise interventions that can effectively prevent and manage low back pain.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design and Participants

This cross-sectional study investigated in **Sir Syed College of Nursing and Allied Health Sciences, Karachi**, to the correlation between physical fitness and pregnancy-related low back pain (LBP). A total of 180 pregnant women were recruited and divided into two gestational groups: mid-gestation (14-28 weeks, n=101) and late-gestation (28-37 weeks, n=79). All participants provided informed consent and met the inclusion criteria of being healthy, single-ton pregnancies with medical clearance for exercise. Women with pre-existing musculoskeletal conditions were excluded.

Measures and Procedures

Low Back Pain. LBP and its related disability were assessed using the validated Oswestry Disability Index (ODI).

Physical Fitness. A comprehensive, 23-component Maternal Physical Fitness Test was used. This system, developed and piloted for safety and practicality, assesses key domains including core strength (e.g., Kneeling Plank, Back Strength), flexibility (Sit-and-Reach), balance (Blind Balance Test), and muscular endurance (e.g., Heel Raises, Glute Kickbacks). To ensure reliability, all tests were demonstrated via a standardized video, and a trained professional supervised and recorded all results in a controlled environment.

Data Analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS software (version X). Descriptive statistics summarized participant characteristics. Independent samples t-tests compared physical fitness levels between the two gestational groups. Pearson's correlation coefficients were computed to examine the relationships between ODI scores and physical

fitness test performances. A p-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

The study assessed the physical fitness of 180 pregnant women, divided into mid-gestation (14–28 weeks) and late-gestation (28–37 weeks) groups. Key results showed a decline in physical fitness as pregnancy progressed, with significant differences between the two groups. Vital capacity was slightly lower in late-gestation (2594.75 ± 595.84 ml) compared to mid-gestation (2631.97 ± 609.88 ml). Back strength significantly decreased from 32.09 ± 10.22 kg in mid-gestation to 28.59 ± 9.07 kg in late-gestation. Flexibility, measured by the sit-and-reach test, worsened in

late-gestation (-7.46 ± 9.63 cm) compared to mid-gestation (-1.80 ± 7.63 cm). Balance also deteriorated, with the left blind balance test dropping from 7.30 ± 8.04 seconds in mid-gestation to 4.78 ± 4.20 seconds in late-gestation. The study also found that women in late-gestation performed fewer resistance band pullbacks (21.22 ± 4.59 reps) compared to mid-gestation (22.87 ± 4.87 reps). These results indicate that core strength, flexibility, and balance worsen as pregnancy progresses, highlighting the need for targeted exercise interventions to improve physical fitness and alleviate pregnancy-related low back pain.

Test Name	Mid-Gestation (N=101)	Late-Gestation (N=79)	Total (N=180)
Vital Capacity (ml)	2631.97 ± 609.88	2594.75 ± 595.84	2615.63 ± 602.36
2 min March-in-place (steps)	191.12 ± 20.64	190.05 ± 21.64	190.65 ± 21.03
Kneeling Plank (s)	29.35 ± 2.52	26.32 ± 8.70	28.02 ± 6.23
Back Strength (kg)	32.09 ± 10.22	28.59 ± 9.07*	30.56 ± 9.86
30s Sit-stands (repetitions)	12.49 ± 2.51	11.78 ± 2.84	12.18 ± 2.67
Schulte Table Test (s)	4.33 ± 0.87	4.50 ± 0.92	4.40 ± 0.89
Finger Grip and Release (20s)	29.74 ± 7.83	30.82 ± 8.52	30.22 ± 8.13
Standing Heel Raises (20s)	15.53 ± 4.09	15.38 ± 4.35	15.47 ± 4.20
Overhead Arm Claps (30s)	23.50 ± 4.16	23.01 ± 3.96	23.28 ± 4.07
Arm Claps Forward (30s)	24.11 ± 3.65	23.25 ± 3.70	23.73 ± 3.69
Resistance Band Pullbacks (30s)	22.87 ± 4.87	21.22 ± 4.59*	22.14 ± 4.81
Left Front Knee Lifts (30s)	24.51 ± 5.62	23.76 ± 4.69	24.18 ± 5.23
Right Front Knee Lifts (30s)	23.59 ± 5.48	22.99 ± 4.33	23.33 ± 5.01
Left Lateral Leg Swings (30s)	25.77 ± 6.80	23.95 ± 5.93	24.97 ± 6.48
Right Lateral Leg Swings (30s)	24.83 ± 6.20	23.76 ± 5.19	24.36 ± 5.79
Left Glute Kickbacks (30s)	24.63 ± 6.51	24.49 ± 6.06	24.57 ± 6.30
Right Glute Kickbacks (30s)	23.89 ± 5.49	23.27 ± 5.51	23.62 ± 5.49
Left Shoulder Mobility (cm)	12.51 ± 6.33	12.73 ± 6.63	12.60 ± 6.45
Right Shoulder Mobility (cm)	9.47 ± 5.29	9.99 ± 5.91	9.70 ± 5.56
Sit and Reach Test (cm)	-1.80 ± 7.63	-7.46 ± 9.63**	-4.28 ± 8.99
Left Side-lying Hip Abduction (°)	79.93 ± 15.96	78.35 ± 16.74	79.23 ± 16.28
Right Side-lying Hip Abduction (°)	82.78 ± 17.09	81.77 ± 15.54	82.34 ± 16.39
Hexagon Step Test (s)	25.86 ± 4.50	26.39 ± 3.72	26.09 ± 4.17
Left Blind Balance Test (s)	7.30 ± 8.04	4.78 ± 4.20*	6.20 ± 6.73
Right Blind Balance Test (s)	5.56 ± 4.87	4.85 ± 5.03	5.25 ± 4.94
Left Bird-dog Balance (s)	25.17 ± 8.46	24.45 ± 8.93	24.85 ± 8.65

Right Bird-dog Balance (s)	26.25 ± 7.22	23.43 ± 9.15*	25.02 ± 8.22
-----------------------------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

DISCUSSION

This study assessed physical fitness and low back pain (LBP) in 180 pregnant women, examining correlations across cardiorespiratory fitness, muscular strength, endurance, speed, flexibility, and balance. Results demonstrated a progressive decline in physical fitness as pregnancy advanced, with women in late gestation showing significantly weaker core strength, reduced flexibility, and impaired balance compared to those in mid-gestation [18]. LBP was highly prevalent, affecting 77.23% of women in mid-gestation and 72.15% in late-gestation. As pregnancy progresses, the shifting center of gravity due to the growing abdomen leads to anterior pelvic tilt and increased lumbar stress, while hormonal changes further weaken abdominal muscles, collectively exacerbating LBP [19, 20]. Although LBP intensity was slightly higher in late-gestation (0.95 ± 0.71) than in mid-gestation (0.91 ± 0.62), this difference was not statistically significant [21, 22]. LBP was found to interfere significantly with daily activities such as walking, standing, and bending [22, 23].

While cardiorespiratory function, speed, and agility showed no significant differences between groups, late-gestation women exhibited notable declines in back strength and resistance band pullback performance, indicating diminished core strength and muscular endurance [24]. They also demonstrated poorer flexibility and balance, with significantly reduced scores in sit-and-reach, blind balance, and bird-dog tests [25, 26]. A negative correlation was observed between LBP and performance in standing heel raises and glute kickbacks, highlighting the role of lower limb and gluteal strength in LBP management [27-30]. Interestingly, LBP was only correlated with glute kickback performance in the mid-gestation group, possibly due to biomechanical constraints in late pregnancy.

These findings underscore the association between declining physical fitness—particularly in core strength, flexibility, and balance—and LBP during pregnancy. Targeted exercise programs focusing on these areas may help alleviate LBP. Study limitations include a moderate sample size and the exclusion of preconception and postpartum periods. Future research should explore tailored exercise interventions for different gestational stages to better prevent and manage pregnancy-related LBP.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to extend their sincere gratitude to the administration and staff of Sir Syed College of Nursing and Allied Health Sciences, Karachi, for their invaluable support in facilitating this research. We are also deeply thankful to all the pregnant women who generously volunteered their time and participation to make this study possible.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Funding Source

This research was conducted without any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

The authors confirm their contribution to the paper as follows: **Samreen Sultana**: Study conception, data collection, analysis, and manuscript writing; **Dr. Jalal Uddin, Dr. Habib Ahmad Khan, Dr. Wasi Ullah, Dr. Masood**: Data interpretation, critical revision of the manuscript; **Dr. Mohammad Imran Younus**: Statistical analysis, manuscript review and editing. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Berber MA, Satilmis IG. Characteristics of Low Back Pain in Pregnancy, Risk Factors, and Its Effects on Quality of Life. Pain

- Manag Nurs. 2020; 21(6):579–86. Epub 2020/06/24.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmn.2020.05.001> PMID: [32571670](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32571670/).
2. Mota MJ, Cardoso M, Carvalho A, Marques A, Sa-Couto P, Demain S. Women's experiences of low back pain during pregnancy. *J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil.* 2015; 28(2):351–7. Epub 2014/10/02.
<https://doi.org/10.3233/BMR-140527> PMID: [25271197](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25271197/).
 3. Fruscalzo A, Cocco P, Londero AP, Gantert M. Low Back Pain during Pregnancy and Delivery Outcomes. *Z Geburtshilfe Neonatol.* 2022; 226(2):104–11. Epub 2021/08/26.
<https://doi.org/10.1055/a1553-4856> PMID: [34433210](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34433210/).
 4. Rodriguez-Ayllon M, Acosta-Manzano P, Coll-Risco I, Romero-Gallardo L, Borges-Cosic M, EstevezLopez F, et al. Associations of physical activity, sedentary time, and physical fitness with mental health during pregnancy: The GESTAFIT project. *J Sport Health Sci.* 2021; 10(3):379–86. Epub 2021/05/25.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jshs.2019.04.003> PMID: [34024352](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34024352/)
 5. Borg-Stein J, Dugan SA. Musculoskeletal disorders of pregnancy, delivery and postpartum. *Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am.* 2007; 18(3):459–76, ix. Epub 2007/08/07.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmr.2007.05.005> PMID: [17678762](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17678762/).
 6. Casagrande D, Gugala Z, Clark SM, Lindsey RW. Low Back Pain and Pelvic Girdle Pain in Pregnancy. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* 2015; 23(9):539–49. Epub 2015/08/15.
<https://doi.org/10.5435/JAAOS-D-1400248> PMID: [26271756](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26271756/).
 7. Han IH. Pregnancy and spinal problems. *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol.* 2010; 22(6):477–81. Epub 2010/ 10/12.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/GCO.0b013e3283404ea1> PMID: [20930629](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20930629/).
 8. To WW, Wong MW. Factors associated with back pain symptoms in pregnancy and the persistence of pain 2 years after pregnancy. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* 2003; 82(12):1086–91. Epub 2003/11/18.
<https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1600-0412.2003.00235.x> PMID: [14616251](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/14616251/).
 9. Ho SS, Yu WW, Lao TT, Chow DH, Chung JW, Li Y. Effectiveness of maternity support belts in reducing low back pain during pregnancy: a review. *J Clin Nurs.* 2009; 18(11):1523–32. Epub 2009/06/06.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2702.2008.02749.x> PMID: [19490291](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19490291/).
 10. Reyhan AC, Dereli EE, Colak TK. Low back pain during pregnancy and Kinesio tape application. *J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil.* 2017; 30(3):609–13. Epub 2016/12/31.
<https://doi.org/10.3233/BMR-160584> PMID: [28035911](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28035911/).
 11. Xue X, Yang X, Deng Z, Chen Y, Mao X, Tu H, et al. Effect of Kinesio taping on Pregnancy-related low back pain: A protocol for systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One.* 2022; 17(1):e0261766. Epub 2022/01/21.
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0261766> PMID: [35051196](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35051196/)
 12. Liddle SD, Pennick V. Interventions for preventing and treating low-back and pelvic pain during pregnancy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2015;(9):CD001139. Epub 2015/10/01. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD001139.pub4> PMID: [26422811](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26422811/)
 13. Oliveira CB, Maher CG, Pinto RZ, Traeger AC, Lin CC, Chenot JF, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the management of non-specific low back pain in primary care:

- an updated overview. *Eur Spine J.* 2018; 27(11):2791–803. Epub 2018/07/05. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-018-5673-2> PMID: 29971708.
14. Knezevic NN, Candido KD, Vlaeyen JWS, Van Zundert J, Cohen SP. Low back pain. *Lancet.* 2021; 398 (10294):78–92. Epub 2021/06/12. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(21\)00733-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00733-9) PMID: 34115979.
 15. Luomajoki HA, Bonet Beltran MB, Careddu S, Bauer CM. Effectiveness of movement control exercise on patients with non-specific low back pain and movement control impairment: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Musculoskelet Sci Pract.* 2018; 36:1–11. Epub 2018/04/10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msksp.2018.03.008> PMID: 29631119.
 16. Gregg VH, Ferguson JE 2nd. Exercise in Pregnancy. *Clin Sports Med.* 2017; 36(4):741–52. Epub 2017/09/10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csm.2017.05.005> PMID: 28886825.
 17. Melzer K, Schutz Y, Boulvain M, Kayser B. Physical activity and pregnancy: cardiovascular adaptations, recommendations and pregnancy outcomes. *Sports Med.* 2010; 40(6):493–507. Epub 2010/06/08. <https://doi.org/10.2165/11532290-000000000-00000> PMID: 20524714.
 18. Bacchi E, Bonin C, Zanolin ME, Zambotti F, Livornese D, Dona S, et al. Physical Activity Patterns in Normal-Weight and Overweight/Obese Pregnant Women. *PLoS One.* 2016; 11(11):e0166254. Epub 2016/11/10. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0166254> PMID: 27829017
 19. Bull FC, Al-Ansari SS, Biddle S, Borodulin K, Buman MP, Cardon G, et al. World Health Organization 2020 guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. *Br J Sports Med.* 2020; 54(24):1451–62. Epub 2020/11/27. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2020-102955> PMID: 33239350
 20. Nascimento SL, Surita FG, Godoy AC, Kasawara KT, Morais SS. Physical Activity Patterns and Factors Related to Exercise during Pregnancy: A Cross Sectional Study. *PLoS One.* 2015; 10(6):e0128953. Epub 2015/06/18. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0128953> PMID: 26083416
 21. Zhang Y, Dong S, Zuo J, Hu X, Zhang H, Zhao Y. Physical activity level of urban pregnant women in Tianjin, China: a cross-sectional study. *PLoS One.* 2014; 9(10):e109624. Epub 2014/10/07. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0109624> PMID: 25286237
 22. Yan CF, Hung YC, Gau ML, Lin KC. Effects of a stability ball exercise programme on low back pain and daily life interference during pregnancy. *Midwifery.* 2014; 30(4):412–9. Epub 2013/06/14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2013.04.011> PMID: 23759131.
 23. Fontana Carvalho AP, Dufresne SS, Rogerio de Oliveira M, Couto Furlanetto K, Dubois M, Dallaire M, et al. Effects of lumbar stabilization and muscular stretching on pain, disabilities, postural control and muscle activation in pregnant woman with low back pain. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med.* 2020; 56(3):297–306. Epub 2020/02/20. <https://doi.org/10.23736/S1973-9087.20.06086-4> PMID: 32072792.
 24. Sklempe Kokic I, Ivanisevic M, Uremovic M, Kokic T, Pisot R, Simunic B. Effect of therapeutic exercises on pregnancy-related low back pain and pelvic girdle pain:

- Secondary analysis of a randomized controlled trial. *J Rehabil Med.* 2017; 49(3):251–7. Epub 2017/02/25. <https://doi.org/10.2340/165019772196> PMID: 28233012.
25. Marin-Jimenez N, Acosta-Manzano P, Borges-Cosic M, Baena-Garcia L, Coll-Risco I, Romero-Gallardo L, et al. Association of self-reported physical fitness with pain during pregnancy: The GESTAFIT Project. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 2019; 29(7):1022–30. Epub 2019/04/02. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.13426> PMID: 30933387.
26. Morino S, Ishihara M, Umezaki F, Hatanaka H, Iijima H, Yamashita M, et al. Low back pain and causative movements in pregnancy: a prospective cohort study. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* 2017; 18(1):416. Epub 2017/10/19. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-017-1776-x> PMID: 29037184
27. Romero-Gallardo L, Roldan Reoyo O, Castro-Pinero J, May LE, Ocon-Hernandez O, Mottola MF, et al. Assessment of physical fitness during pregnancy: validity and reliability of fitness tests, and relationship with maternal and neonatal health—a systematic review. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med.* 2022; 8(3): e001318. Epub 2022/09/30. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjsem-2022-001318> PMID: 36172399
28. Zhou L, Sun Z, Zheng R, et al. System construction of physical fitness index for pregnant women (孕妇体质测试指标体系的构建). *Chinese Journal of Perinatal Medicine* 2021; 12: 677–681. [in Chinese].
29. Fairbank JC, Pynsent PB. The Oswestry Disability Index. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2000; 25(22):2940– 52; discussion 52. Epub 2000/11/14. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00007632-200011150-00017> PMID: 11074683.
30. Ireland ML, Ott SM. The effects of pregnancy on the musculoskeletal system. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2000;(372):169–79. Epub 2000/03/30. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00003086-200003000-00019> PMID: 10738426