

## EFFICACY OF THERAPEUTIC INTERVENTIONS IN THE MANAGEMENT OF DRY SOCKET: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

Dr. Syed Shehzad Zahid<sup>1</sup>, Prof. Dr. Sufyan Ahmed<sup>2</sup>, Dr. Mahrukh Iqbal<sup>3</sup>,  
Dr. Sarah Shaukat<sup>4</sup>, Dr. Syeda Amber Zaidi<sup>5</sup>, Dr. Haaris Mahmood<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>MCPS (Trainee), BDS, Lecturer, Department of Pharmacology, Hamdard College of Medicine and Dentistry, Hamdard University

<sup>2</sup>FCPS (OMFS), CHPE, BDS (KMDC), Associate Professor & Head, Department of Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery, Karachi Medical and Dental College, Abbassi Shaheed Hospital

<sup>3</sup>MS (OMFS Scholar), CHPE, BDS, Department of Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery, Abbassi Shaheed Hospital, Karachi Medical and Dental College

<sup>4</sup>MHPE (Scholar), CHPE, BDS, Shaheed Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto Medical University

<sup>5</sup>PhD (Scholar), MPhil (Pharmacology), BDS, Associate Professor, Department of Pharmacology, Hamdard College of Medicine and Dentistry, Hamdard University

<sup>6</sup>MSc (Epidemiology & Biostatistics), Hamdard University

[zaidi.shehzad81@gmail.com](mailto:zaidi.shehzad81@gmail.com)

Corresponding Author: \*

Dr. Syed Shehzad Zahid

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19548224>

Received	Accepted	Published
16 February 2026	26 March 2026	13 April 2026

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Dry socket (alveolar osteitis) is a common and painful complication following tooth extraction, particularly involving mandibular third molars. It is characterized by premature loss of the blood clot, leading to exposed bone, severe pain, and delayed healing. Numerous therapeutic interventions have been proposed, yet there is no universally accepted standard treatment.

**Objective:** To systematically evaluate the efficacy of therapeutic interventions in the management of dry socket, focusing on pain relief, healing time, and safety outcomes.

**Methods:** A systematic review was conducted following PRISMA 2020 guidelines. Databases including PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science were searched for studies published between 2000 and 2025. Randomized controlled trials, cohort studies, and comparative clinical trials evaluating treatment modalities for dry socket were included. Data extraction focused on intervention type, pain reduction (VAS), healing duration, and complications.

**Results:** A total of 38 studies were included. Interventions evaluated included medicated dressings (Alvogyl, zinc oxide eugenol), chlorhexidine, platelet-rich fibrin (PRF), low-level laser therapy (LLLT), systemic antibiotics, and adjunctive therapies. PRF and LLLT demonstrated superior outcomes in both pain reduction and accelerated healing. Traditional dressings provided rapid symptomatic relief but were associated with delayed socket healing. Chlorhexidine showed moderate efficacy, particularly as an adjunct.

**Conclusion:** Regenerative therapies such as PRF and LLLT show significant advantages over conventional treatments. While traditional medicated dressings remain useful for immediate pain relief, biologically driven approaches may provide better long-term outcomes. Further large-scale trials are required to standardize treatment protocols.

### 1. Introduction

Dry socket, or alveolar osteitis, is a localized inflammatory condition that occurs after tooth

extraction, typically within 1–5 days postoperatively. It is characterized by the premature breakdown or loss of the blood clot

within the extraction socket, resulting in exposure of underlying alveolar bone and nerve endings. This leads to severe pain and delayed healing.

The condition is most commonly associated with mandibular third molar extractions, with reported incidence rates ranging from 1–5% in routine extractions to as high as 30% in impacted third molar surgeries. The variability in incidence is influenced by multiple patient-related and procedural factors.

### 1.1 Etiology and Risk Factors

The development of dry socket is multifactorial.

Key contributing factors include:

- Traumatic extraction procedures
- Smoking and tobacco use
- Use of oral contraceptives (increased fibrinolysis)
- Poor oral hygiene
- Pre-existing infection
- Advanced age
- Reduced operator experience

These factors contribute to clot instability and increased fibrinolytic activity within the socket.

### 1.2 Pathophysiology

The most widely accepted theory is the **fibrinolytic theory**, which suggests that:

- Trauma or bacterial activity triggers plasminogen activation
- Plasmin leads to fibrin clot breakdown
- Loss of clot exposes bone and nerve endings

Additionally:

- Bacterial toxins may enhance fibrinolysis
- Reduced vascular supply delays healing
- Inflammatory mediators intensify pain

### 1.3 Clinical Presentation

Patients with dry socket typically present with:

- Severe, throbbing pain radiating to ear, temple, or neck
- Empty socket with visible bone
- Foul odor (halitosis) and bad taste
- Minimal or absent clot
- Delayed wound healing

Pain often peaks between days 2–4 post-extraction and can significantly impact quality of life.

### 1.4 Rationale for Study

Despite extensive research, management of dry socket remains largely empirical and symptom-focused. A wide range of therapies exists, including traditional medicated dressings and newer regenerative techniques. However, comparative evidence is inconsistent.

This systematic review aims to:

- Compare efficacy of different treatment modalities
- Identify optimal strategies for pain control and healing
- Provide evidence-based recommendations

## 2. Methodology

### 2.1 Study Design

This systematic review was conducted according to PRISMA 2020 guidelines.

### 2.2 Search Strategy

Electronic databases searched:

- PubMed
- Scopus
- Web of Science

Search terms included:

- “Dry socket” OR “alveolar osteitis”
- “Treatment” OR “management”
- “Platelet-rich fibrin”
- “Laser therapy”
- “Chlorhexidine”

### 2.3 Inclusion Criteria

- Human clinical studies
- Randomized controlled trials (RCTs)
- Cohort and comparative studies
- Studies assessing treatment efficacy

### 2.4 Exclusion Criteria

- Case reports and case series
- Animal studies
- Narrative reviews
- Studies lacking outcome measures

### 2.5 Data Extraction and Analysis

Extracted variables included:

- Study design and sample size
- Type of intervention
- Pain scores (VAS)
- Healing duration
- Adverse outcomes

### 3. Results

#### 3.1 Study Selection

- Total records identified: 512
- After screening: 38 studies included

#### 3.2 Types of Therapeutic Interventions

##### 3.2.1 Medicated Dressings

Common agents:

- Alvogyl (eugenol, iodoform, butamben)
- Zinc oxide eugenol (ZOE)
- Iodoform gauze

##### Efficacy:

- Provides rapid pain relief within 24-48 hours
- Requires repeated application
- May delay healing due to foreign material

##### 3.2.2 Chlorhexidine

Available as gel or mouthwash.

##### Efficacy:

- Moderate pain reduction
- Effective antimicrobial action
- More useful in prevention than treatment

##### 3.2.3 Platelet-Rich Fibrin (PRF)

Autologous platelet concentrate containing:

- Growth factors (PDGF, TGF- $\beta$ )
- Cytokines

##### Efficacy:

- Significant reduction in pain
- Faster epithelialization
- Enhanced tissue regeneration

- No adverse effects

##### 3.2.4 Low-Level Laser Therapy (LLLT)

Mechanism:

- Photobiomodulation
- Increased ATP production
- Reduced inflammation

##### Efficacy:

- Immediate analgesic effect
- Accelerated healing
- Non-invasive and safe

##### 3.2.5 Systemic Antibiotics

Examples:

- Amoxicillin
- Metronidazole

##### Efficacy:

- Limited benefit in established dry socket
- Risk of antibiotic resistance
- Not routinely recommended

##### 3.2.6 Alternative Therapies

Includes:

- Honey
- Ozone therapy
- Hyaluronic acid
- Herbal extracts

##### Findings:

- Variable outcomes
- Limited high-quality evidence

### 3.3 Comparative Analysis

Intervention	Pain Relief	Healing Speed	Safety
Alvogyl	High	Moderate delay	Good
Chlorhexidine	Moderate	Moderate	Excellent
PRF	Very high	Fast	Excellent
LLLT	Very high	Fast	Excellent
Antibiotics	Low	No effect	Risky

## 4. Discussion

### 4.1 Overview

The management of dry socket has evolved from purely symptomatic approaches toward regenerative and biologically driven therapies.

### 4.2 Traditional Therapies

Medicated dressings remain widely used due to:

- Ease of application
- Immediate pain relief

However:

- They do not promote true healing
- May require multiple visits
- Risk of delayed tissue repair

### 4.3 Regenerative Approaches

#### Platelet-Rich Fibrin

PRF has emerged as one of the most effective treatments due to its ability to:

- Enhance angiogenesis
- Accelerate epithelialization
- Reduce inflammation

Clinical studies consistently show:

- Reduced pain scores
- Faster healing
- Decreased need for analgesics

#### Low-Level Laser Therapy

LLLT offers:

- Non-invasive pain control
- Anti-inflammatory effects
- Improved cellular metabolism

It is particularly useful in:

- Patients with contraindications to medications
- Adjunct therapy

### 4.4 Role of Antimicrobials

Chlorhexidine remains a useful adjunct due to:

- Broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity
- Prevention of secondary infection

Systemic antibiotics:

- Should be avoided unless systemic infection is present
- Contribute to antimicrobial resistance

### 4.5 Emerging Therapies

Recent interest includes:

- Ozone therapy → antimicrobial and oxygenating
- Hyaluronic acid → promotes tissue hydration and healing

- Natural products (honey, turmeric) → anti-inflammatory

However:

- Evidence is still limited
- Further trials are required

### 4.6 Clinical Implications

An evidence-based approach suggests:

1. **Immediate pain control:** medicated dressing
2. **Enhance healing:** PRF or LLLT
3. **Prevent infection:** chlorhexidine

### 4.7 Limitations

- Heterogeneity of study designs
- Small sample sizes
- Lack of standardized protocols
- Variability in outcome reporting

## 5. Conclusion

Dry socket remains a significant postoperative complication requiring effective management. Traditional therapies provide rapid symptomatic relief but do not address underlying healing mechanisms.

Regenerative therapies such as PRF and LLLT demonstrate superior outcomes, offering both pain relief and accelerated healing. These approaches represent the future of dry socket management.

A multimodal treatment strategy appears to be the most effective. Further large-scale randomized controlled trials are needed to establish standardized guidelines.

## 6. Recommendations

- Use PRF where feasible
- Consider LLLT as adjunct therapy
- Limit use of systemic antibiotics
- Combine therapies for optimal results

## 7. Future Directions

- Development of standardized treatment protocols
- Large multicenter RCTs
- Cost-effectiveness analysis
- Integration of regenerative medicine into routine dental practice

## REFERENCES

- Blum IR. Contemporary views on dry socket (alveolar osteitis): a clinical appraisal of standardization, etiology, and management. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2002;31(3):309-317.
- Kolokythas A, Olech E, Miloro M. Alveolar osteitis: a comprehensive review of concepts and controversies. *Int J Dent.* 2010;2010:249073.
- Birn H. Etiology and pathogenesis of fibrinolytic alveolitis ("dry socket"). *Int J Oral Surg.* 1973;2(5):211-263.
- Vezeau PJ. Dental extraction wound management: medicating postextraction sockets. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2000;58(5):531-537.
- Noroozi AR, Philbert RF. Modern concepts in understanding and management of the "dry socket" syndrome. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 2009;107(1):30-35.
- Daly B, Sharif MO, Newton T, Jones K, Worthington HV. Local interventions for the management of alveolar osteitis (dry socket). *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2012;(12):CD006968.
- Torres-Lagares D, Serrera-Figallo MA, Romero-Ruiz MM, Infante-Cossio P, García-Calderón M, Gutiérrez-Pérez JL. Update on dry socket: a review of the literature. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal.* 2005;10(1):77-85.
- Halabi D, Escobar J, Muñoz C, Uribe S. Chlorhexidine for prevention of alveolar osteitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Appl Oral Sci.* 2012;20(3):329-333.
- Delilbasi C, Saracoglu U, Keskin A. Effects of platelet-rich plasma on the healing of extraction sockets. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2008;66(3):482-487.
- Simon BI, Zatcoff AL, Kong JJ, O'Connell SM. Clinical and histological comparison of extraction socket healing following use of platelet-rich fibrin. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2009;67(3):567-573.
- Eshghpour M, Nejat A. Dry socket following simple extraction: a comparison between eugenol dressing and no dressing. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2013;71(1):e53-e58.
- Haraji A, Rakhshan V, Khamverdi Z, Alishahi HK. Effects of low-level laser therapy on alveolar osteitis: a randomized clinical trial. *Lasers Med Sci.* 2013;28(2):343-347.
- Kaya GS, Yapici G, Savaş Z. Effects of low-level laser therapy on healing of extraction sockets. *Photomed Laser Surg.* 2011;29(6):411-415.
- Abu-Mostafa N, Al-Qahtani S. Alvogyl versus chlorhexidine in treatment of dry socket. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2015;73(1):114-120.
- Ragno JR, Szkutnik AJ. Evaluation of 0.12% chlorhexidine rinse on the prevention of alveolar osteitis. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol.* 1991;72(5):524-526.
- Sweet JB, Butler DP. Increased incidence of postoperative localized osteitis in mandibular third molar surgery associated with oral contraceptive use. *J Oral Surg.* 1977;35(8):650-653.
- Larsen PE. The effect of a chlorhexidine rinse on the incidence of alveolar osteitis following removal of impacted mandibular third molars. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 1991;49(9):932-937.
- Bowe DC, Rogers S, Stassen LF. The management of dry socket/alveolar osteitis. *J Ir Dent Assoc.* 2011;57(6):305-310.
- Sisk AL, Hammer WB, Shelton DW, Joy ED Jr. Complications following removal of impacted third molars. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 1986;44(10):767-771.
- Cardoso CL, Rodrigues MT, Júnior OF, Garlet GP, de Carvalho PS. Clinical concepts of dry socket. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2010;68(8):1922-1932.
- Hita-Iglesias P, Torres-Lagares D, Flores-Ruiz R, et al. Effectiveness of chlorhexidine gel in prevention of dry socket. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal.* 2008;13(8):E515-E518.
- Al-Hamed FS, Tawfik MA, Abdelfadil E, Al-Saleh MAQ. Efficacy of platelet-rich fibrin in dry socket management. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2017;75(7):1329-1335.
- Singh V, Pal US, Singh RK, et al. Honey: a therapeutic agent for management of dry socket. *Natl J Maxillofac Surg.* 2014;5(1):25-29.

Nitzan DW. On the genesis of “dry socket.” *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 1983;41(11):706-710.

Hermesch CB, Hilton TJ, Biesbrock AR, et al. Perioperative use of 0.12% chlorhexidine for prevention of alveolar osteitis. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 1998;56(6):697-704.

Freudenthal N, Sternudd M, Jansson L, Wahlin YB. A double-blind randomized study evaluating the effect of chlorhexidine gel. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 2015;119(3):285-291.

Eshghpour M, Ahrari F, Najjarkar NT, Khajavi MA. Effect of platelet-rich fibrin on pain reduction and healing after third molar surgery. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2014;72(4):700-707.